arXiv:1112.0099v2 [math.DG] 5 Jan 2012

A WEAKLY SECOND DIFFERENTIABLE STRUCTURE ON RECTIFIABLE METRIC MEASURE SPACES

SHOUHEI HONDA

Abstract. We give the definition of angles on a Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound, its fundamental properties and discuss a second differentiability of such spaces.

1. Introduction

Let X be a metric space. We say that a map γ from $[0, l]$ to X is a *minimal geodesic* if γ is an isometric embedding. Let γ_1 and γ_2 be minimal geodesics on X beginning at $x \in X$. Define the angle $\angle\dot{\gamma_1}\dot{\gamma_2}$ between γ_1 and γ_2 at x by

$$\cos\angle\dot{\gamma_1}\dot{\gamma_2} = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{2t^2 - \overline{\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)}^2}{2t^2}$$

if the limit exists, where $\overline{x, y}$ is the distance between x and y.

This notion of angles is a crucial role to study metric spaces. For example, on Alexandrov spaces (or CAT(κ)-spaces), the angle between every two minimal geodesics beginning at a fixed point always exists. The existence directly follows from some monotonicity by Toponogov's comparison inequality, roughly speaking, the monotonicity is closely related an existence of a lower (or upper) bound of sectional curvature of the space. See for instance [1]. Remark that in general, angles are *not* well-defined.

Now we consider the following question:

Question: *Is the angle between given two minimal geodesics beginning at a fixed point on a metric (measure) space with a lower Ricci curvature bound well-defined?*

The fact above that the angle between every two minimal geodesics on Alexandrov spaces is well-defined implies that the sectional curvature version of this question is *YES*. There are many important works for a lower Ricci curvature bounds on metric measure spaces. See for instance [31, 39, 40, 30, 42]. Remark that a typical example of them is a Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.

The next Colding-Naber's recent very interesting result implies that in general, an answer of the question above is *NO*:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Gromov-Hausdorff convergence, Ricci curvature, Geometric measure theory.

Theorem 1.1. [11, Theorem 1.2 and 1.3] *For every $n \geq 3$, there exists a pointed proper metric space (Y, p) satisfying the following properties:*

1. *(Y, p) is a non-collapsing Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.*
2. *All points of Y are regular points. Moreover, Y is a uniform Reifenberg space.*
3. *For every two minimal geodesics γ_1, γ_2 beginning at p and every $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, there exists a sequence $t_i \to 0$ such that*
$$\cos\theta = \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{2t_i^2 - \overline{\gamma_1(t_i), \gamma_2(t_i)}^2}{2t_i^2}.$$

Remark that (Y, p) as above has more additional property. See [11] for the details. This important example above implies that even on a metric space with a lower Ricci curvature bound and good properties, in general, angles are not well-defined.

In order to give the first main theorem of this paper, let (M_∞, m_∞) be a Gromov-Hausdorff limit space of a sequence of pointed complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_{i<\infty}$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$. See [4, 5, 6, 7] for the wonderful structure theory of M_∞ developed by Cheeger-Colding. The following is the first main result of this paper:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $p, q \in M_\infty \setminus \{m_\infty\}$ with $m_\infty \notin C_p \cup C_q$. Then the angle $\angle p m_\infty q$ of $p m_\infty q$ is well-defined. In fact, we have that*
$$\cos \angle p m_\infty q = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{2t^2 - \overline{\gamma_p(t), \gamma_q(t)}^2}{2t^2}$$
for any minimal geodesics γ_p from m_∞ to p and γ_q from m_∞ to q, where C_p is the cut locus of p defined by $C_p = \{x \in M_\infty; \overline{p, x} + \overline{x, z} > \overline{p, z}$ for every $z \in M_\infty \setminus \{x\}\}$.

Theorem 1.2 especially implies that

1. the angle between two minimal geodesics beginning at $x \in M_\infty$ (even if x is a singular point and even if M_∞ is a collapsing limit space) is always well-defined if these minimal geodesics can be extended to the direction of x. In fact, for (Y, p) as in Theorem 1.1, we can check that $p \in C_x$ for every $x \in Y \setminus \{p\}$.
2. for every $p, q \in M_\infty$, in the same way as Alexandrov spaces, the angle $\angle pxq$ is well defined for a.e. $x \in M_\infty$ with respect to any limit measures (see [19, Theorem 3.2]).

Therefore, roughly speaking, we can say that an answer of the question above for limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature is *ALMOST YES*. See Theorem 4.3 for the proof of Theorem 1.2.

We will also discuss some Hölder continuity of angles (Corollary 4.5) and show that its Hölder continuity of angles implies a *Hölder structure* on M_∞ in some weak sense (Corollary 4.6).

The second main result of this paper is the following:

THEOREM 1.3. *M_∞ has a weakly second differentiable structure.*

See Definition 3.16 for the precise definition of a weakly second differentiable structure on metric (measure) spaces. Remark that this second differentiable structure is *better* than the Hölder structure above in some sense. In fact, for instance, we can give a suitable definition of second differentiable functions on a space having a weakly second differentiable structure (Definition 3.20) and its fundamental properties. As an example, we will show that all eigenfunctions with respect to the Dirichlet problem on M_∞ are weakly second differentiable (Corollary 4.16).

On the other hand, it is known that the Riemannian metric of M_∞ is well-defined by Cheeger-Colding (see Section 4 in [2] and Section 7 in [7]). We will show that the Riemannian metric of M_∞ is *Lipschitz* in some sense with respect to a weakly second differentiable structure as in Theorem 1.3. See Theorem 4.13. As corollaries, we will show that the *Levi Chivita connection on M_∞* exists uniquely (Theorem 3.25), and give the definition of the *Hessian of a second differentiable function* (Proposition 3.26) and their fundamental properties.

For examples, let (Z, z) be a non-collapsing Gromov-Hausdorff limit of a sequence of pointed complete n-dimensional Einstein manifolds $\{(\hat{M}_i, \hat{m}_i)\}_i$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{\hat{M}_i} = H(n-1)$, where H is a fixed real number. Then in [5], it is shown that the regular set $\mathcal{R}$ of Z is open and a smooth Riemannian manifold. We can see that the Levi Chivita connection given in this paper coincides that defined by the smooth structure of $\mathcal{R}$. See Theorems 2.4, 3.25, 4.13 and [5, Theorem 7.3].

Next, we shall give a remark about Theorem 1.3. For that, we shall recall a cerebrated work for *(measurable) differentiable structure* on metric measure spaces by Cheeger. In [2], Cheeger showed that a metric measure space satisfying Poincaré inequality and doubling condition has a differentiable structure in some sense. For instance, we can also find very interesting examples of them in [29, 34]. See also [25]. Remark that M_∞ with a limit measure is a typical example of them. It is important that we can discuss about first differentiability for functions on such metric measure spaces. In fact, it is shown that all Lipschitz functions on such spaces are differentiable at almost everywhere points in some sense, like classical Rademacher's theorem [37]. On the other hand, in general, it seems that it is not easy to give a suitable definition of a second differentiable structure on metric measure spaces. However, in several situations, e.g., Alexandrov spaces, we can consider such a second differentiable structure (see for instance [1, 32, 33, 35, 36]). The notion of weakly second differentiable structure on metric measure spaces given in this paper gives such a framework including limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.

Finally, we introduce fundamental tools used in the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. In the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will essentially use the proof of the splitting theorem [4, Theorem 6.64] by Cheeger-Colding and several fundamental properties of the convergence of the differentials of Lipschitz functions with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology given in [20] by the author. In the proof of Theorem 1.3, we will essentially use several fundamental properties of the convergence of spectral structures with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology given in [28] by Kuwae-Shioya and also use several results given in [20] again.

The organization of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we will introduce several fundamental notions on metric measure spaces and on limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds needed subsequently. In Section 3, we will give the definition of a weakly second differentiable structure on metric measure spaces and its fundamental properties. In Section 4, we will give proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express my appreciation to Professor Tobias Holck Colding and Doctor Aaron Naber for helpful comments. He is grateful to Professor Kazuhiro Kuwae for giving valuable suggestions. He was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up 22840027 from JSPS.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will introduce several fundamental notions on metric measure spaces and on limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds needed subsequently. Let X be a metric space. For $R > 0$, $x \in X$, denote $B_R(x) = \{y \in X; \overline{x,y} < R\}$ and $\overline{B}_R(x) = \{y \in X; \overline{x,y} \le R\}$.

2.1. **Metric measure spaces.**

We say that X is *proper* if every bounded closed subset of X is compact. We say that X is a *geodesic space* if for every $x, y \in X$, there exists a minimal geodesic γ from x to y. Let υ be a Radon measure on X. In this paper, we say that (X, υ) is a *metric measure space* if X is a proper geodesic space and if $\upsilon(B_r(x)) > 0$ for every $x \in X$ and every $r > 0$. We shall recall the notion of *rectifiability* for metric measure spaces defined by Cheeger-Colding in [7]:

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (X, υ) be a metric measure space. We say that X *is weakly υ-rectifiable* if there exist a positive integer m, collections of Borel subsets $\{C_i^l\}_{1 \le l \le m, i \in \mathbf{N}}$ of X, and of bi-Lipschitz embedding maps $\{\phi_i^l : C_i^l \to \mathbf{R}^l\}_{l,i}$ such that the following properties (1) and (2) hold:

(1) $\upsilon(X \setminus \bigcup_{l,i} C_i^l) = 0$
(2) υ is Ahlfors l-regular at every $x \in C_i^l$, i.e., there exist $C \ge 1$ and $r > 0$ such that $C^{-1} \le \upsilon(B_t(x))/t^l \le C$ for every $0 < t < r$.

Moreover we say that X *is υ-rectifiable* if the following condition holds: For every k, every $x \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbf{N}} C_{k,i}$ and every $0 < \delta < 1$, there exists i such that $x \in C_{k,i}$ and that a map ϕ_i^l is $(1 \pm \delta)$-bi-Lipschitz to the image $\phi_i^l(C_i^l)$.

See [7, Definition 5.3] and the condition *iii*) of page 60 in [7] (remark that in [7], X is said to be υ-rectifiable if the conditions (1) and (2) above hold). In this paper, we say that a family $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ as in Definition 2.1 is a *(weakly) rectifiable coordinate patches of* (X, υ) if X is (weakly) υ-rectifiable. See also [25]. It is important that the cotangent bundle on a rectifiable metric measure space exists in some sense. We shall give several fundamental properties of the cotangent bundle:

Theorem 2.2 (Cheeger, Cheeger-Colding). *Let (X, υ) be a rectifiable metric measure space. Then, there exist a topological space T^*X and a Borel map $\pi : T^*X \to X$ such that the following properties hold:*

1. $\upsilon(X \setminus \pi(T^*X)) = 0$.
2. $\pi^{-1}(w)(= T^*_w X)$ *is a finite dimensional real vector space with canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$ for every $w \in \pi(T^*X)$.*
3. *For every Lipschitz function f on X, there exist a Borel subset V of X, and a Borel map df (called the differential of f) from V to T^*X such that $\upsilon(X \setminus V) = 0$ and that $\pi \circ df(w) = w$, $|df|(w) = \mathrm{Lip} f(w) = Lipf(w)$ for every $w \in V$, where $|v|(w) = \sqrt{\langle v, v \rangle_w}$, $\mathrm{Lip} f(x) = \lim_{r \to 0}(\sup_{y \in B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}}(|f(x) - f(y)|/\overline{x,y}))$ and $Lipf(x) = \liminf_{r \to 0}(\sup_{y \in \partial B_r(x)}(|f(x) - f(y)|/\overline{x,y}))$.*

See Section 4 in [2] and Section 7 in [7] for these details. Denote $T^*A = \pi^{-1}(A)$ for every subset A of X.

Finally, we recall the definition of Gromov-Hausdorff convergence. Let $\{(X_i, x_i)\}_{1 \le i \le \infty}$ be a sequence of pointed proper geodesic spaces. We say that (X_i, x_i) *Gromov-Hausdorff converges to* (X_∞, x_∞) if there exist sequences of positive numbers $\epsilon_i \to 0$, $R_i \to \infty$ and of maps $\phi_i : B_{R_i}(x_i) \to B_{R_i}(x_\infty)$ such that $|\overline{x,y} - \overline{\phi_i(x), \phi_i(y)}| < \epsilon_i$ for every $x, y \in B_{R_i}(x_i)$, $B_{R_i}(x_\infty) \subset B_{\epsilon_i}(\mathrm{Image}(\phi_i))$ and $\phi_i(x_i) \to x_\infty$. We denote it by $(X_i, x_i) \to (X_\infty, x_\infty)$ for the sake of simplicity. Moreover, for a sequence $\{\upsilon_i\}_{1 \le i \le \infty}$ of Borel measures υ_i on X_i, we say that υ_∞ *is the limit measure of* $\{\upsilon_i\}_i$ if $\upsilon_i(B_r(y_i)) \to \upsilon_\infty(B_r(y_\infty))$ for every $r > 0$ and every sequence $\{y_i\}_i$ of points $y_i \in X_i$ with $\phi_i(y_i) \to y_\infty$ (denote it by $y_i \to y_\infty$). Then denote it by $(X_i, x_i, \upsilon_i) \to (X_\infty, x_\infty, \upsilon_\infty)$ for the sake of simplicity.

2.2. **Limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.** Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and (M_∞, m_∞) be a pointed proper geodesic space. We say that (M_∞, m_∞) *is an (n, K)-Ricci limit space (of $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$)* if there exist sequences of real numbers $K_i \to K$ and of pointed complete n-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \ge K_i(n-1)$ such that $(M_i, m_i) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty)$. We call an $(n, -1)$-Ricci limit space a *Ricci limit space* for the sake of simplicity. Moreover we say that a Radon measure υ on M_∞ is the *limit measure of* $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ if υ is the limit measure of $\{\mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)\}_i$. Then we say that $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i))\}_i$.

Assume that $(M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$ is the Ricci limit space of $\{(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i))\}_i$ below. Then it is known that Sobolev spaces $H_{1,p}$ are well-defined for every $1 < p < \infty$ and that for every $f \in H_{1,p}$, the differential $df(x) \in T^*_x M_\infty$ is well-defined for a.e. x. See [2] for the detail.

We shall introduce two results about rectifiablity for (M_∞, υ):

Theorem 2.3 (Rectifiablity by distance functions. [20]). *There exists a rectifiable coordinate patches* $\{(C^l_i, \phi^l_i)\}_{1 \le l \le n, i < \infty}$ *of* (M_∞, υ) *such that every* $\phi^l_{i,s}$ *is the distance function from a point in* M_∞*, where* $\phi^l_i = (\phi^l_{i,1}, \ldots, \phi^l_{i,k})$.

Theorem 2.4 (Rectifiablity by harmonic functions. [7]). *There exists a rectifiable coordinate patches* $\{(C^l_i, \phi^l_i)\}_{l,i}$ *of* (M_∞, υ) *such that the following property holds: There exists a subsequence* $\{k(j)\}_j \subset \mathbf{N}$ *such that for every* l, i*, there exist* $x_\infty \in M_\infty$*,* $r > 0$ *with* $C^l_i \subset B_r(x_\infty)$*, a sequence* $\{x_{k(j)}\}_j$ *of* $x_{k(j)} \in M_{k(j)}$ *with* $x_{k(j)} \to x_\infty$*, a sequence* $\{f_{k(j),s}\}_{j,s}$ *of harmonic functions* $f_{k(j),s}$ *on* $B_r(x_{k(j)})$ *such that* $\sup_{j,s} \mathbf{Lip} f_{k(j),s} < \infty$*,* $f_{k(j),s} \to \phi^l_{i,s}$ *on* C^l_i *as* $j \to \infty$ *for every* s*, where* $\mathbf{Lip} f$ *is the Lipschitz constant of* f.

See below for the definition of $f_i \to f_\infty$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. In Section 4, roughly speaking, we will show that

1. a rectifiable coordinate patches as in Theorem 2.3 implies a *Hölder structure of* M_∞ in some weak sense,
2. a rectifiable coordinate patches as in Theorem 2.4 implies a *second differentiable structure of* M_∞ in some weak sense.

See Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.13 for these precise statements.

Finally, we introduce the definition of a convergence of the differentials of Lipschitz functions with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology given in [20]. Fix $R > 0, L \ge 1$ and a sequence $\{f_i\}_i$ of L-Lipschitz functions f_i on $B_R(m_i)$. We say that f_i *converges to* f_∞ *at* x_∞ if $f_i(x_i) \to f_\infty(x_\infty)$ for every $x_i \to x_\infty$ (denote it by $f_i \to f_\infty$ at x_∞). Recall that the differential df_∞ of f_∞ is well-defined for a.e. $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$. In this setting, we can give a definition of that df_i *converges to* df_∞ *at given* $x_\infty \in B_R(m_\infty)$.

Definition 2.5. [20, Definition 1.1 or 4.4] We say that df_i *converges to* df_∞ *at* x_∞ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every $z_i \to z_\infty$, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \left| \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)} \int_{B_t(x_i)} \langle dr_{z_i}, df_i \rangle d\mathrm{vol} - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))} \int_{B_t(x_\infty)} \langle dr_{z_\infty}, df_\infty \rangle d\upsilon \right| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_t(x_i)} \int_{B_t(x_i)} |df_i|^2 d\mathrm{vol} \le \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_t(x_\infty))} \int_{B_t(x_\infty)} |df_\infty|^2 d\upsilon + \epsilon$$

for every $0 < t < r$ and every $x_i \to x_\infty$, where r_z is the distance function from z.

We shall use the notation: $(f_i, df_i) \to (f_\infty, df_\infty)$ at x_∞ if $f_i \to f_\infty$ and $df_i \to df_\infty$ at x_∞. We end this subsection by giving three fundamental properties of this convergence used essentially in Section 4:

1. If $x_i \to x_\infty (x_i \in M_i)$, then $(r_{x_i}, dr_{x_i}) \to (r_{x_\infty}, dr_{x_\infty})$ on M_∞.
2. Assume that f_i is a C^2-function for every $i < \infty$, $f_i \to f_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$ and that
$$\sup_{i<\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} (\Delta f_i)^2 d\mathrm{vol} < \infty.$$
Then we have that $df_i \to df_\infty$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.
3. Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{f_i^l, g_i^l\}_{1 \le i \le \infty, 1 \le l \le k}$ be a collection of Lipschitz functions f_i^l, g_i^l on $B_R(m_i)$ with $\sup_{l,i}(\mathbf{Lip}\, f_i^l + \mathbf{Lip}\, g_i^l) < \infty$, and $\{F_i\}_{1 \le i \le \infty} \subset C^0(\mathbf{R}^k)$. Assume that the following properties hold:
   (a) F_i converges to F_∞ with respect to the compact uniformly topology on $\mathbf{R}^k$.
   (b) $df_i^l \to df_\infty^l$ and $dg_i^l \to dg_\infty^l$ at a.e. $\alpha \in B_R(m_\infty)$ for every $1 \le l \le k$.
   Then we have
$$\lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} F_i(\langle df_i^1, dg_i^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle df_i^k, dg_i^k \rangle) d\mathrm{vol}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty))} \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} F_\infty(\langle df_\infty^1, dg_\infty^1 \rangle, \dots, \langle df_\infty^k, dg_\infty^k \rangle) d\upsilon.$$

See [20] for more fundamental properties of this convergence: $df_i \to df_\infty$.

3. Weak Hölder continuity and weak Lipschitz continuity

In this section, we will give several new notions for metric measure spaces and their fundamental properties. Remark that their properties are proved elementally, however, with the theory of convergence of Riemannian manifolds, they perform crucial roles to study Ricci limit spaces in Section 4.

We start this section by giving the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let A be a Borel subset of a metric measure space (X, υ), Y a metric space, f a Borel map from A to Y, and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. We say that

1. f is *weakly α-Hölder continuous on A* if there exists a countable family $\{A_i\}_i$ of Borel subsets A_i of A such that $\upsilon(A \setminus \bigcup_i A_i) = 0$ and that every $f|_{A_i}$ is α-Hölder continuous,
2. f is *weakly Lipschitz on A* if f is weakly 1-Hölder continuous on A.

Remark 3.2. Let M be a n-dimensional Riemannian manifold, f a function on M and A a Borel subset of M. Assume that f is differentiable at every $x \in A$. Then it is easy to check that f is weakly Lipschitz on A with respect to the Riemannian measure. Especially the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) f is differentiable at a.e. $x \in A$.
(2) f is weakly Lipschitz on A.

3.1. **Weakly second differentiable functions on a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$.** Let A be a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$, f a Lipschitz function on A and $y \in \mathrm{Leb}\, A$, where $\mathrm{Leb}\, A = \{a \in A; \lim_{r\to 0} H^k(A \cap B_r(a))/H^k(B_r(a)) = 1\}$. Then we say that f *is differentiable at* y if there exists a Lipschitz function $\hat{f}$ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ such that $\hat{f}|_A = f$ and that $\hat{f}$ is differentiable at y. Remark that if f is differentiable at y, then a vector $(\partial \hat{f}/\partial x_1(y), \ldots, \partial \hat{f}/\partial x_n(y))$ does not depend on the choice of such $\hat{f}$. Denote the vector by $J(f)(y) = (\partial f/\partial x_1(y), \ldots, \partial f/\partial x_n(y))$. Let $F = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)$ be a Lipschitz map from A to $\mathbf{R}^m$. We say that F *is differentiable at* y if every f_i is differentiable at y. Remark that by Rademacher's theorem, F is differentiable at x for a.e. $x \in A$. Denote the Jacobi matrix of F at x by $J(F)(x) = (\partial f_i/\partial x_j(x))_{ij}$ if F is differentiable at x.

Definition 3.3. Let $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_p} f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}$ be a p-form on A and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. We say that

(1) ω is a *Borel p-form on A* if every $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p}$ is a Borel function,
(2) ω is *weakly α-Hölder continuous on A* if every $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p}$ is weakly α-Hölder continuous on A,
(3) ω is *weakly Lipschitz on A* if every $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p}$ is weakly Lipschitz on A.

For two Borel p-forms $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1,2}$ on A, we say that ω_1 *is equivalent to* ω_2 if $\omega_1(x) = \omega_2(x)$ for a.e. $x \in A$. Denote the equivalent class of ω by $[\omega]$, the set of equivalent classes by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$, the set of equivalent classes represented by a weakly α-Hölder continuous p-form by $\Gamma_\alpha(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$. We often use the notation $\omega = [\omega]$ for the sake of simplicity.

Let ω be a weakly Lipschitz p-form on A. Define a Borel $(p+1)$-form $d\omega$ on A by $d\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_p} (\partial f^j_{i_1,\ldots,i_p}/\partial x_l) dx_l \wedge dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}$, where $\omega = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_p} f^j_{i_1,\ldots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}$. Remark that if ω_1 is equivalent to ω_2, then $d\omega_1$ is equivalent to $d\omega_2$. Therefore d is well-defined as a linear map from $\Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$ to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^{p+1} T^*A)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let F be a Lipschitz function on $\mathbf{R}^k$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{F_i\}_i \subset C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^k)$ such that $F_i \to F$ in $L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^k)$ and that $J(F_i)(x) \to J(F)(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$.*

Proof. Let ρ be a non-negative valued smooth function on $\mathbf{R}^k$ with $\mathrm{supp}\rho \subset B_1(0_k)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho(x) dH^k = 1,$$

where H^k is the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure. For every $\epsilon > 0$, define smooth functions ρ_ϵ and F_ϵ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ by $\rho_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-k}\rho(x/\epsilon)$ and

$$F_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) F(y) dH^k.$$

Let $L \geq 1$ with $\sup \rho + \mathbf{Lip} F \leq L$. For every $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|F_\epsilon(x) - F(x)| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho_\epsilon(x-y)|F(y) - F(x)| dH^k \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \rho_\epsilon(x-y)|F(y) - F(x)| dH^k \\ &\leq L\epsilon \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) dH^k \\ &= L\epsilon \int_{B_\epsilon(0_k)} \rho_\epsilon(y) dH^k \overset{\epsilon \to 0}{\to} 0.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have the first assertion. On the other hand, for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and every $h \in \mathbf{R}$, by dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{F_\epsilon(x+he_i) - F_\epsilon(x)}{h} &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho_\epsilon(y) \left(\frac{F(x+he_i-y) - F(x-y)}{h}\right) dH^k \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0_k)} \rho_\epsilon(y) \left(\frac{F(x+he_i-y) - F(x-y)}{h}\right) dH^k \\ &\overset{h \to 0}{\to} \int_{B_\epsilon(0_k)} \rho_\epsilon(y) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x-y) dH^k \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0_k)} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) dH^k \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) dH^k.\end{aligned}$$

By Lusin's theorem, for every $\delta > 0$ and every $R > 0$, there exists a Borel subset A_δ^R of $B_R(0_k)$ such that $H^k(B_R(0_k) \setminus A_\delta^R) < \delta$ and that $J(F)|_{A_\delta^R}$ is continuous. Thus, for every $x \in \mathrm{Leb}\, A_\delta^R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\frac{\partial F_\epsilon}{\partial x_i}(x) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^k} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| dH^k \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| dH^k \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon(x) \cap A_\delta^R} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| dH^k \\ &\quad + \int_{B_\epsilon(x) \setminus A_\delta^R} \rho_\epsilon(x-y) \left|\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) - \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)\right| dH^k \\ &\leq \sup_{y \in B_\epsilon(x) \cap A_\delta^R} |J(F)(y) - J(F)(x)| + 2L\epsilon^{-k} H^k(B_\epsilon(x) \setminus A_\delta^R) \\ &\overset{\epsilon \to 0}{\to} 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since δ and R are arbitrary, we have the second assertion. $\square$

Let $G = (G_1, \dots, G_k)$ be a bi-Lipschitz embedding from A to $\mathbf{R}^k$. For every $\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^p T^*G(A))$, define $G^*\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$ by $G^*\omega = \sum f_{i_1,\dots,i_p} \circ G\,(\partial G_{i_1}/\partial x_{j_1}) \cdots (\partial G_{i_p}/\partial x_{j_p}) dx_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{j_p}$, where $\omega = \sum f_{i_1,\dots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}$. Remark that if $J(G)$ is weakly Lipschitz on A, then $G^*\omega \in \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$ for every $\omega \in \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*G(A))$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let* $\omega = \sum f_{i_1,\dots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p} \in \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*G(A))$. *Assume that* $J(G)$ *is weakly Lipschitz on* A. *Then we have that* $d(G^*\omega) = G^*(d\omega) \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^{p+1} T^*A)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can assume that G and every $f_{i_1,\dots,i_p}$ are Lipschitz on A. By Lemma 3.4, there exist sequences of smooth maps $\{G^j\}_j$ from $\mathbf{R}^k$ to $\mathbf{R}^k$, and of smooth functions $\{f^j_{i_1,\dots,i_p}\}_j$ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ such that the following properties hold:

(1) $G^j \to G$ and $f^j_{i_1,\dots,i_p} \to f_{i_1,\dots,i_p}$ in $L^\infty(A)$.
(2) $J(G^j)(x) \to J(G)(x)$ and $J(f^j_{i_1,\dots,i_p})(x) \to J(f_{i_1,\dots,i_p})(x)$ for a.e. $x \in A$.

Since

$$d\left((G^j)^*\left(\sum f^j_{i_1,\dots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}\right)\right)(x) = (G^j)^*\left(d\left(\sum f^j_{i_1,\dots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p}\right)\right)(x)$$

for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^k$ and every j, by letting $j \to \infty$, we have the assertion. □

Remark that in the same way as Definition 3.3, we can give definitions of Borel vector (tensor) field on A, of its equivalence, of its weak α-Hölder continuity, and so on. Denote the set of equivalent classes of Borel vector fields by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and the set of equivalent classes represented by a weakly Lipschitz vector field by $\Gamma_1(TA)$.

For every weakly Lipschitz function f on A and every $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, define a Borel function $X(f)$ on A by $X(f) = \sum X_i \partial f/\partial x_i$, where $X = \sum X_i \partial/\partial x_i$. For every $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, define $G_*X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TG(A))$ by $G_*X = \sum X(G_i)\partial/\partial x_i$. For every $X, Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$, define $[X, Y] \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ by

$$[X, Y] = \sum_{i,j} \left(X_j \frac{\partial Y_i}{\partial x_j} - Y_j \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

where $X = \sum X_i \partial/\partial x_i$, $Y = \sum Y_i \partial/\partial x_i$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let* $X, Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. *Assume that* $J(G)$ *is weakly Lipschitz on* A. *Then we have that* $[G_*X, G_*Y] = G_*[X, Y] \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TG(A))$.

Proof. By an argument similar to the proof of Proposition 3.5. □

Definition 3.7 (Weakly second differentiable function). Let f be a Borel function on A. We say that f *is weakly second differentiable on* A if f is weakly Lipschitz function on A and $df \in \Gamma_1(T^*A)(= \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^1 T^*A))$.

Remark that the following is *not* trivial.

Proposition 3.8. *Let* f *be a weakly second differentiable function on* A. *Then we have that* $d(df) = 0 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^2 T^*A)$.

Proof. Let $\hat{A} = \{x \in \operatorname{Leb} A; \partial f/\partial x_1(x) = \cdots = \partial f/\partial x_k(x) = 0\}$. Remark that $d(d(f|_{\hat{A}})) = 0$. On the other hand, by [20, Theorem 3.4], there exists a countable collection $\{A_i^m\}_{1 \le i \le k, m \in \mathbf{N}}$ of Borel subsets of $A \setminus \hat{A}$ such that $H^k\left((A \setminus \hat{A}) \setminus \bigcup_{i,m} A_i^m\right) = 0$ and that every map $\Phi_i^m = (f, x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_k)$ is a bi-Lipschitz embedding from A_i^m to $\mathbf{R}^k$. By the assumption, we have that every $\langle df, dx_j\rangle$ is weakly Lipschitz on A. Therefore we have that $J(\Phi_i^m)$ and $J((\Phi_i^m)^{-1})$ are weakly Lipschitz on A_i^m and $\Phi_i^m(A_i^m)$, respectively. Since $(\Phi_i^m)^* dx_1 = df$ and $d(dx_1) = 0$, the assertion follows directly from Proposition 3.5. $\square$

Let f be a weakly second differentiable function on A. Put

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right)(x).$$

Remark that Proposition 3.8 implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in A$.

For $\omega = \sum f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_p} \in \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$, we say that ω *is weakly second differentiable on A* if every $f_{i_1,\ldots,i_p}$ is weakly second differentiable on A. Similarly, we can give definitions of weak second differentiability for a vector (tensor) field on A, for a map from A to $\mathbf{R}^m$, and so on. The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.8:

Corollary 3.9. *Let ω be a weakly second differentiable p-form on A. Then we have that $d(d\omega) = 0 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^{p+2} T^*A)$.*

3.2. Riemannian metric on a Bore subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$.

Let A be a Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$. In this subsection, we will study *Riemannian metric on A* in the following sense:

Definition 3.10 (Riemannian metric). Let $g = \{g_a\}_{a \in A}$ be a family of inner products g_a on $T_a\mathbf{R}^k$. We say that

1. *g is a Borel Riemannnian metric on A* if every $g_{ij}(a) = g_a(\partial/\partial x_i, \partial/\partial x_j)$ is a Borel function on A,
2. *g is a weakly α-Hölder continuous Riemannnian metric on A* if every g_{ij} is a weakly α-Hölder continuous function on A,
3. *g is a weakly Lipschitz Riemannnian metric on A* if every g_{ij} is a weakly Lipschitz function on A.

Remark that a Borel Riemannian metric g on A is weakly α-Hölder continuous if and only if $g \in \Gamma_\alpha(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$. For two Borel Riemannian metrics $g, \hat{g}$ on A, we say that g *is equivalent to $\hat{g}$* if g_{ij} is equivalent to $\hat{g}_{ij}$. Denote the set of equivalent classes of Borel Riemannian metrics by $\mathrm{Riem}_{\mathrm{Bor}}(A) (\subset \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A))$ and the set of equivalent classes represented by a weakly α-Hölder continuous Riemannian metric by $\mathrm{Riem}_\alpha(A)$.

For a weakly Lipschitz function f on A, $g \in \mathrm{Riem}_{\mathrm{Bor}}(A)$, $X = \sum X_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and $\omega = \sum \omega_i dx_i \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$, define $X^* \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$, $\omega^* \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and $\nabla^g f \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ by $X^* = \sum g_{ij}X_i dx_j$, $\omega^* = \sum g^{ij}\omega_i \partial/\partial x_j$ and $\nabla^g f = (df)^*$, where $(g^{ij})_{ij} = (g_{ij})_{ij}^{-1}$.

Proposition 3.11 (Levi Chivita connection). *Let $g \in \mathrm{Riem}_1(A)$. Then there exists the Levi Chivita connection ∇^g on A uniquely in the following sense:*

1. *∇^g is a map from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA) \times \Gamma_1(TA)$ to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ (denote $\nabla^g_X Y = \nabla^g(X, Y)$).*
2. *$\nabla^g_X(Y + Z) = \nabla^g_X Y + \nabla^g_X Z$ for every $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and every $Y, Z \in \Gamma_1(TA)$.*
3. *$\nabla^g_{fX+hY} Z = f\nabla^g_X Z + h\nabla^g_Y Z$ for every $X, Y \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, every $Z \in \Gamma_1(TA)$ and every Borel functions f, h on A.*
4. *$\nabla^g_X(fY) = X(f)Y + f\nabla^g_X Y$ for every $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, every $Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$ and every weakly Lipschitz function f on A.*
5. *$\nabla^g_X Y - \nabla^g_Y X = [X, Y]$ for every $X, Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$.*
6. *$Xg(Y, Z) = g(\nabla^g_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla^g_X Z)$ for every $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and every $Y, Z \in \Gamma_1(TA)$.*

Proof. Let

$$\Gamma^m_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_l g^{ml}\left(\frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x_l}\right)$$

and

$$\nabla^g_X Y = \sum_{i,j}\left(X_i \frac{\partial Y_j}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + X_i Y_j \Gamma^m_{i,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}\right),$$

where $X = \sum X_i \partial/\partial x_i$ and $Y = \sum Y_i \partial/\partial x_i$. It is easy to check that the properties above hold for this ∇^g. Therefore we have the existence.

Next, we shall check the uniqueness. Let ∇^1 and ∇^2 be Levi Chivita connections on A. Fix $X = \sum X_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \Gamma_1(TA), Y = \sum Y_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. Since

$$2g(\nabla^l_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y,Z) + Yg(Z,X) - Zg(X,Y) + g([X,Y],Z) - g([Y,Z],X) + g([Z,X],Y)$$

for every $Z \in \Gamma_1(TA)$ and every $l = 1, 2$, we have that $g(\nabla^1_X Y - \nabla^2_X Y, Z) = 0$ for every $Z \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. Put $\nabla^1_X Y - \nabla^2_X Y = \sum h_i \partial/\partial x_i$. By Lusin's theorem, there exists a sequence of compact subsets $\{A_j\}_j$ of A such that $H^k(A \setminus A_j) \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$ and that $h_i|_{A_j}$ is continuous for every i, j. Now we remark the following elementary lemma:

Claim 3.12. *Let K be a bounded Borel subset of $\mathbf{R}^k$, h a continuous function on K and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exist a Borel subset K_ϵ of K and a Lipschitz function h_ϵ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ such that $|h(x) - h_\epsilon(x)| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in K_\epsilon$ and that $H^k(K \setminus K_\epsilon) < \epsilon$.*

The proof is as follows. For every $x \in \mathrm{Leb}\, K$, there exists $r_x > 0$ such that $H^k(B_r(x) \cap K)/H^k(B_r(x)) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ for every $0 < r < r_x$, and that $|h(x) - h(y)| < \epsilon$ for every $y \in K \cap B_{r_x}(x)$. By standard covering lemma (see for instance Chapter 1 in [41]), there exists a countable pairwise disjoint collection $\{\overline{B}_{r_i}(x_i)\}_i$ such that $x_i \in \mathrm{Leb}\, K$,

$r_i < r_{x_i}/5$ and that $\mathrm{Leb}\, K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(x_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=N+1}^\infty \overline{B}_{5r_i}(x_i)$ for every N. Fix N with $\sum_{j=N+1}^\infty H^k(B_{r_i}(x_i)) < \epsilon/5^k$. Then we have $H^k\left(\mathrm{Leb}\, K \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{B}_{r_i}(x_i)\right) < \epsilon$. Define a Lipschitz function f on $\bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{r_i}(x_i)$ by $f|_{B_{r_i}(x_i)} \equiv h(x_i)$. Let $K_\epsilon = K \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{r_i}(x_i)$ and h_ϵ be a Lipschitz function on $\mathbf{R}^k$ with $h_\epsilon|_{K_\epsilon} = f$. Then we have that $|h_\epsilon(x) - h(x)| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in K_\epsilon$. Thus we have Claim 3.12.

Therefore, there exist collections of Borel subsets $\{A_{j,k}\}_k$ of A_j, and of Lipschitz functions $\{h_{i,j,k}\}_{i,j,k}$ on $\mathbf{R}^k$ such that $H^k(A_j \setminus A_{j,k}) < 2^{-k}$ and that $|h_i(x) - h_{i,j,k}(x)| < 2^{-k}$ for every $x \in A_{j,k}$. Let $\hat{A}_j = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \bigcup_{k=m} A_{j,k}$. Then since $g(\sum_i h_i \partial/\partial x_i, \sum_i h_{i,j,k}\partial/\partial x_i) = 0$ on $A_{j,k}$, we have that $h_i(x) \equiv 0$ on $\hat{A}_j$. Since $H^k(A_j \setminus \hat{A}_j) = 0$, we have $\nabla^1_X Y = \nabla^2_X Y$. Therefore for every $\hat{X} = \sum \hat{X}_i \partial/\partial x_i \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$, we have that $\nabla^1_{\hat{X}} Y = \sum \hat{X}_i \nabla^1_{\partial/\partial x_i} Y = \sum \hat{X}_i \nabla^2_{\partial/\partial x_i} Y = \nabla^2_{\hat{X}} Y$. Thus we have the uniqueness. □

Let G be a bi-Lipschitz embedding from A to $\mathbf{R}^k$ and $g \in \mathrm{Riem}_1(A)$. Assume that G is weakly second differentiable on A, i.e. $J(G)$ is weakly Lipschitz on A. Remark that $J(G^{-1})$ is weakly Lipschitz on $G(A)$ and that a Riemannian metric G_*g on $G(A)$ defined by $G_*g(\partial/\partial x_i, \partial/\partial x_j) = g((G^{-1})_*(\partial/\partial x_i), (G^{-1})_*(\partial/\partial x_j))$ is weakly Lipschitz Riemannian metric on $G(A)$.

Corollary 3.13. *With notation as above, we have that* $G_*(\nabla^g_X Y) = \nabla^{G_*g}_{G_*X} G_*Y$ *for every* $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ *and every* $Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$.

Proof. It is easy to check that if we define a map T from $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TG(A)) \times \Gamma_1(TG(A))$ to $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TG(A))$ by $T(X,Y) = G_*(\nabla^g_{(G^{-1})_*X}(G^{-1})_*Y)$, then the properties of the Levi Chivita connection of G_*g hold for T. Thus the assertion follows from the uniqueness of the Levi Chivita connection. □

Definition 3.14. Let f be a weakly second differentiable function on A, $\omega \in \Gamma_1(T^*A)$ and $X \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. Define

(1) a Borel tensor field $\nabla^g\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$ of type $(0,2)$ on A by

$$\nabla^g\omega = \sum g\left(\nabla^g_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}}\omega^*, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) dx_i \otimes dx_j,$$

(2) *the Hessian* Hess^g_f *of* f by $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f = \nabla^g df$,

(3) *the divergence* $\mathrm{div}^g X$ *of* X by

$$\mathrm{div}^g X = \text{trace of } \nabla^g X^* = \sum g\left(\nabla^g_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} X, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right),$$

(4) *the Laplacian* $\Delta^g f$ *of* f by $\Delta^g f = -\mathrm{div}^g \nabla^g f$.

We end this subsection by giving several properties of them:

Corollary 3.15. *With the same notation as in Definition 3.14, we have the following:*

(1) $\nabla^g\omega = G^*(\nabla^{G_*g}(G^{-1})^*\omega)$.

(2) $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f = G^*(\mathrm{Hess}^{G_*g}_{f\circ G^{-1}})$.
(3) $\mathrm{div}^g\, X \circ G^{-1} = \mathrm{div}^{G_*g}\, G_*X$
(4) $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f(x)$ *is symmetric for a.e.* $x \in A$.
(5) $\mathrm{div}^g\, (h(\nabla^g f)) = -h\Delta^g f + g(\nabla^g f, \nabla^g h)$ *for every weakly second differentiable function* h *on* A.
(6) $\Delta^g(fh) = h\Delta^g f - 2g(\nabla^g f, \nabla^g h) + f\Delta^g h$ *for every weakly second differentiable function* h *on* A.

Proof. The assertions of (1), (2) and (3) follow directly from Corollary 3.13. Since

$$\mathrm{Hess}^g_f = \sum \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \left(\nabla^g_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)(f) \right) dx_i \otimes dx_j,$$

the assertion (4) follows from Proposition 3.8. On the other hand, by easy calculations, we have the assertions of (5) and (6). $\Box$

3.3. **Weakly second differentiable structure on weakly rectifiable metric measure spaces.** In this subsection, we will discuss some weakly second differentiability on weakly rectifiable metric measure spaces.

Definition 3.16 (Weakly second differentiable structure). Let (X, υ) be a metric measure space and $0 < \alpha \le 1$. We say that

(1) (X, υ) *has a weakly α-Hölder differentiable structure* if there exists a weakly rectifiable coordinate patches $\{(C^l_i, \phi^l_i)\}_{l,i}$ of (X, υ) such that every bi-Lipschitz map $\Phi^l_{ij} = \phi^l_j \circ (\phi^l_i)^{-1}$ from $\phi^l_i(C^l_i \cap C^l_j)$ to $\phi^l_j(C^l_i \cap C^l_j)$ is weakly α-Hölder continuous,
(2) (X, υ) *has a weakly second differentiable structure* if (X, υ) has a weakly 1-Hölder differentiable structure.

Definition 3.17. Let $0 < \hat{\alpha} \le \alpha \le 1$, (X, υ) be a metric measure space having a weakly α-Hölder differentiable structure with respect to $\{(C^l_i, \phi^l_i)\}_{l,i}$, a Borel subset A of X and $\omega = \{\omega^l_i\}_{l,i}$ a family of Borel p-form ω^l_i on $\phi^l_i(C^l_i \cap A)$. We say that

(1) ω is a *Borel p-form on A* if $(\Phi^l_{ij})^*\omega^l_j = \omega^l_i$ on $\phi^l_i(C^l_i \cap C^l_j \cap A)$ for every i, l, j with $\upsilon(C^l_i \cap C^l_j \cap A) > 0$.
(2) ω is a *weakly $\hat{\alpha}$-Hölder continuous p-form on A* if ω is a Borel p-form on A, and $\omega^l_i \in \Gamma_{\hat{\alpha}}(\bigwedge^p T^*\phi^l_i(C^l_i \cap A))$,

Denote $\omega|_{C^l_i \cap A} = \omega^l_i$.

Remark that ω can be identified a Borel section from A to a L^∞-vector bundle $\bigwedge^p T^*X$ on X. See Section 4 in [3] or Section 7 in [7] for the detail. Remark that in the same way as Definition 3.17, we can give definitions of Borel vector (tensor) field on A, its Hölder continuity, its equivalence and so on. For instance, denote the set of equivalent classes of Borel sections $s : A \to T^*X \otimes T^*X$ by $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$. Similarly, define $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$, and so on.

Assume that (X, υ) has a weakly second differentiable structure with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$. Let A be a Borel subset of X. As in the previous section, denote the set of equivalent classes of Borel vector fields on A represented by a weakly Lipschitz vector field on A by $\Gamma_1(TA, \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i})$. Similarly define $\Gamma_1(T^*A \otimes T^*A, \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i})$ and so on. We often use the notations: $\Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A) = \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A, \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i})$, $\Gamma_1(T^*A \otimes T^*A) = \Gamma_1(T^*A \otimes T^*A, \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i})$ and so on, for the sake of simplicity.

Proposition 3.18. *Let $\omega \in \Gamma_1(\bigwedge^p T^*A)$. Then there exists $d\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^{p+1} T^*A)$ uniquely such that $d\omega|_{C_i^l \cap A} = d(\omega|_{\phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap A)})$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.5. □

Proposition 3.19. *Let $V, W \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. Then there exists $[V, W] \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ uniquely such that $[V, W]|_{C_i^l \cap A} = [V_{C_i^l \cap A}, W_{C_i^l \cap A}]$.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.6. □

Definition 3.20 (Weakly second differentiable function). We say that a Borel function f on A is *weakly second differentiable on A with respect to* $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ if every $f \circ (\phi_i^l)^{-1}$ is weakly second differentiable on $\phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap A)$.

The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.8:

Corollary 3.21. *Let f be a weakly second differentiable function on A with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$. Then we have that $d(df) = 0 \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(\bigwedge^2 T^*A)$.*

We say that $g \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$ is a *Borel Riemannian metric on A* if g is symmetric and positive definite. For a Borel Riemannian metric g on A, a weakly Lipschitz function f on A, $X \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and $\omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$, in the same way as in the previous subsection, define $X^* \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A)$, $\omega^* \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$ and $\nabla^g f \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TA)$.

Remark 3.22. We can *not* discuss a second differentiability for vector field (or $p(\geq 1)$-form) on X in the same way as above.

3.4. **Weakly Lipschitz Riemannian metric on weakly rectifiable metric measure spaces.** In this subsection, we will study Riemannian metric on weakly rectifiable metric measure spaces. Let (X, υ) be a weakly rectifiable metric measure space with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$, and $g = \{g_i^l\}_{l,i} \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*X \otimes T^*X)$ a Borel Riemannian metric on X.

Definition 3.23 (Weakly Lipschitz Riemannian metric on weakly rectifiable metric measure spaces). We say that

1. g is a *weakly α-Hölder Riemannian metric on X with respect to* $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ if $g_i^l \in \mathrm{Riem}_\alpha(\phi_i^l(C_i^l))$,
2. g is a *weakly Lipschitz Riemannian metric on X with respect to* $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ if $g_i^l \in \mathrm{Riem}_1(\phi_i^l(C_i^l))$.

PROPOSITION 3.24. *Let* $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. *Assume that* g *is a weakly* α*-Hölder Riemannian metric on* X *with respect to* $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$. *Then we have that* (X, υ) *has a weakly* α*-Hölder differentiable structure with respect to* $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ *and that* $g \in \Gamma_\alpha(T^*X \otimes T^*X, \{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i})$.

PROOF. Since g_i^l is weakly α-Hölder continuous, by easy calculation, we have that every map $J(\Phi_{ij}^l)$ is weakly α-Hölder continuous on $\phi_i^l(C_i^l \cap C_j^l)$. Therefore we have the assertion. □

Assume that g is a weakly Lipschitz Riemannian metric on X with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$. The following is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 3.13:

THEOREM 3.25 (Levi Chivita connection). *There exists the Levi Chivita connection* ∇^g *on* X *uniquely in the following sense:*

1. ∇^g *is a map from* $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX) \times \Gamma_1(TX)$ *to* $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$.
2. $\nabla^g_U(V + W) = \nabla^g_U V + \nabla^g_U W$ *for every* $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$ *and every* $V, W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.
3. $\nabla^g_{fU+hV} W = f\nabla^g_U W + h\nabla^g_V W$ *for every* $U, V \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$, *every* $W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$ *and every Borel functions* f, h *on* X.
4. $\nabla^g_U(fV) = U(f)V + f\nabla^g_U V$ *for every* $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$, *every* $V \in \Gamma_1(TX)$ *and every weakly Lipschitz function* f *on* X.
5. $\nabla^g_U V - \nabla^g_V U = [U, V]$ *for every* $U, V \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.
6. $Ug(V, W) = g(\nabla^g_U V, W) + g(V, \nabla^g_U W)$ *for every* $U \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(TX)$ *and every* $V, W \in \Gamma_1(TX)$.

The following is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.15:

PROPOSITION 3.26. *Let* A *be a Borel subset of* X, f *a weakly second differentiable function on* A, $\omega \in \Gamma_1(T^*A)$ *and* $Y \in \Gamma_1(TA)$. *Then there exist uniquely*

1. $\nabla^g \omega \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$ *satisfying that* $\nabla^g \omega|_{C_i^l \cap A} = \nabla^{g_i^l}(\omega|_{C_i^l \cap A})$,
2. *the Hessian* $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*A \otimes T^*A)$ *satisfying that* $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f|_{C_i^l \cap A} = \mathrm{Hess}^{g_i^l}_{f \circ \phi_i^l}$,
3. *a Borel function* $\mathrm{div}^g\, Y$ *(called the divergence of* Y*) on* A *satisfying that* $\mathrm{div}^g\, Y(x) = \mathrm{div}^{g_i^l}\,(Y|_{C_i^l})(\phi_i^l(x))$ *for a.e.* $x \in \phi_i^l(A \cap C_i^l)$,
4. *a Borel function* $\Delta^g f$ *on* A *satisfying that* $\Delta^g f(x) = \Delta^{g_i^l}(f \circ (\phi_i^l)^{-1})(\phi_i^l(x))$ *for a.e.* $x \in \phi_i^l(A \cap C_i^l)$.

Moreover we have the following:

- (a) $\mathrm{Hess}^g_f(x)$ *is symmetric for a.e.* $x \in A$.
- (b) $\mathrm{div}^g\,(h(\nabla^g f)) = -h\Delta^g f + g(\nabla^g f, \nabla^g h)$ *for every weakly second differentiable function* h *on* A.
- (c) $\Delta^g(fh) = h\Delta^g f - 2g(\nabla^g f, \nabla^g h) + f\Delta^g h$ *for every weakly second differentiable function* h *on* A.

Finally we end this subsection by giving the definition of the Rimannian metric on a rectifiable metric measure space: Let $(\hat{X}, \hat{\upsilon})$ be a rectifiable metric measure space with respect to $\{\hat{C}_i^l, \hat{\phi}_i^l\}_{l,i}$, and $\{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w\}_w$ the canonical family of inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w$ on $T^*_w \hat{X}$ as in Theorem 2.2. Define $\hat{g} = \{\hat{g}_i^l\}_{l,i} \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*X \otimes T^*X)$ by $(\hat{g}_i^l)^{st} = \langle d\hat{\phi}^l_{i,s}, d\hat{\phi}^l_{i,t} \rangle$, where $\hat{\phi}_i^l = (\hat{\phi}^l_{i,1}, \ldots, \hat{\phi}^l_{i,k})$, and call $\hat{g} = \{\hat{g}_i^l\}_{l,i} \in \Gamma_{\mathrm{Bor}}(T^*\hat{X} \otimes T^*\hat{X})$ the *Riemannian metric of* $(\hat{X}, \hat{\upsilon})$ *with respect to* $\{\hat{C}_i^l, \hat{\phi}_i^l\}_{l,i}$.

Remark 3.27. In [2, 7], the family $\{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_w\}_w$ is said to be the Riemannian metric of $(\hat{X}, \hat{\upsilon})$.

4. Ricci limit spaces

In this section, we will give proofs of Theorem 1.2 and 1.3. Let (M_∞, m_∞) be a Ricci limit space. Remark that all two limit measures υ_1, υ_2 on M_∞ are mutually absolutely continuous. See [7, Theorem 4.17]. Therefore, for instance, remark that the notion of weak Hölder continuity for functions on M_∞ does not depend on the choice of the limit measures.

4.1. **Angles, its weak Hölder continuity and bi-Lipschitz embedding.** In this subsection, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.2 and discuss weak Hölder continuity of angles.

Proposition 4.1. *For every* $\epsilon > 0$*, there exists* $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n) > 0$ *such that the following property holds: Let* M *be an* n*-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -\epsilon^2(n-1)$*, and* $m, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in M$*. Assume that* $\overline{p_i, m} \geq \epsilon^{-1}, \overline{q_i, m} \geq \epsilon^{-1}$ *and* $\overline{m, p_i} + \overline{m, q_i} - \overline{p_i, q_i} \leq \delta$ *for* $i = 1, 2$*. Then we have that*

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \left| \langle dr_{p_1}, dr_{p_2} \rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \langle dr_{p_1}, dr_{p_2} \rangle d\mathrm{vol} \right| d\mathrm{vol} \leq C(n) \epsilon^{\alpha(n)},$$

where $0 < \alpha(n) < 1$ *and* $C(n) \geq 1$ *are constants depending only on* n*.*

Proof. By the proof of [4, Lemmas 6.15, 6.25 and Proposition 6.60], there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, n) > 0$ such that the following property holds: Let M, m, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 be as above. Then for every $i = 1, 2$, there exists a harmonic function $\mathbf{b}_i$ on $B_{100}(m)$ such that $|r_{p_i} - \mathbf{b}_i|_{L^\infty(B_{100}(m))} \leq C_1(n) \epsilon^{\alpha_1(n)}$ and

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \left(|dr_{p_i} - d\mathbf{b}_i|^2 + |\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_i}|^2 \right) d\mathrm{vol} \leq C_2(n) \epsilon^{\alpha_2(n)}.$$

Therefore, by Poincaré inequality of type $(1, 2)$ on M, we have

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \left| \langle d\mathbf{b}_1, d\mathbf{b}_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \langle d\mathbf{b}_1 . d\mathbf{b}_2 \rangle d\mathrm{vol} \right| d\mathrm{vol}$$

$$\leq C(n) \sqrt{\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m)} \int_{B_1(m)} \left(|\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_1}|^2 + |\mathrm{Hess}_{\mathbf{b}_2}|^2 \right) d\mathrm{vol}} \leq C_3(n) \epsilon^{\alpha_3(n)}.$$

Thus we have the assertion. $\square$

For every $p \in M_\infty$ and every $\tau > 0$, put $\mathcal{D}_p^\tau = \{z \in M_\infty;$ There exists $w \in M_\infty$ with $\overline{w,z} \geq \tau$ and $\overline{p,z} + \overline{z,w} = \overline{p,w}.\}$. Remark $C_p = M_\infty \setminus \bigcup_{\tau > 0} \mathcal{D}_p^\tau$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let υ be a limit measure on M_∞, $\beta > 0$, $\tau > 0$, $p, q \in M_\infty$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}_p^\tau \cap \mathcal{D}_q^\tau \setminus (B_\beta(p) \cup B_\beta(q))$. Then we have that*

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \left| \langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle d\upsilon \right| d\upsilon \leq C(n) \max \left\{ r, \frac{r}{\beta}, \frac{r}{\tau} \right\}^{\alpha(n)}$$

for every $0 < r < \min\{\beta, \tau\}$.

Proof. Let $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ be a sequence of pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ such that $(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$. Fix sequences $p_i, q_i, x_i \in M_i$ with $p_i \to p, q_i \to q$ and $x_i \to x$. By rescaling $r^{-1} d_{M_i}$, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_r(x_i)} \int_{B_r(x_i)} \left| \langle dr_{p_i}, dr_{q_i} \rangle - \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_r(x_i)} \int_{B_r(x_i)} \langle dr_{p_i} . dr_{q_i} \rangle d\mathrm{vol} \right| d\mathrm{vol} \leq C(n) \max \left\{ r, \frac{r}{\beta}, \frac{r}{\tau} \right\}^{\alpha(n)},$$

for every sufficiently large i. Since $dr_{p_i} \to dr_p, dr_{q_i} \to dr_q$ and $dr_{x_i} \to dr_x$ on M_∞ (see [20, Proposition 4.8]), by letting $i \to \infty$, we have the assertion. $\square$

Let υ be a limit measure on M_∞ and $p, q, x \in M_\infty$ with $x \in M_\infty \setminus (C_p \cup C_q \cup \{p\} \cup \{q\})$. It follows directly from Corollary 4.2 that the limit

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle d\upsilon$$

exists. Define the angle $\angle^\upsilon pxq$ of pxq with respect to υ by

$$\angle^\upsilon pxq = \arccos \left(\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle d\upsilon \right).$$

Theorem 4.3. *We have that*

$$\cos \angle^\upsilon pxq = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{2t^2 - \overline{\gamma_p(t), \gamma_q(t)}^2}{2t^2}$$

for any minimal geodesics γ_p from x to p, and γ_q from x to q. Especially, we have Theorem 1.2.

Proof. Recall that a pointed proper geodesic space (Y, y) is a *tangent cone of M_∞ at $x \in M_\infty$* if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $r_i \to 0$ such that $(M_\infty, r_i^{-1} d_{M_\infty}, x) \to (Y, y)$. Fix

1. a sequence of positive numbers $r_i \to 0$,
2. a tangent cone (Y, y) of M_∞ at x, a Radon measure υ_Y on Y, satisfying that $(M_\infty, r_i^{-1} d_{M_\infty}, x, \upsilon/\upsilon(B_{r_i}(x))) \to (Y, y, \upsilon_Y)$,

(3) geodesics γ_p, γ_q on M_∞ beginning at p, q, respectively, such that x is an interior point of both γ_p and γ_q.

Then it is easy to check that there exist lines l_p, l_q of Y such that $y \in \mathrm{Image}(l_p) \cap \mathrm{Image}(l_q)$ and that $(\gamma_p, r_i^{-1}d_Y) \to l_p$ and $(\gamma_q, r_i^{-1}d_Y) \to l_q$ with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology (recall that a map $l : \mathbf{R} \to Y$ is said to be a *line of* Y if l is an isometric embedding). By [4, Lemmas 6.15 and 6.25] and [20, Corollary 4.5], it is not difficult to check that $dr_p \to db_{l_p}$ and $dr_q \to db_{l_q}$ on Y, where b_{l_p} is the Busemann function of l_p. Therefore by splitting theorem [4, Theorem 6.64] and [20, Theorem 1.2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\cos \angle^{\upsilon} pxq &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_{r_i}(x))} \int_{B_{r_i}(x)} \langle dr_p, dr_q \rangle d\upsilon \\
&= \frac{1}{\upsilon_Y(B_1(y))} \int_{B_1(y)} \langle db_{l_p}, db_{l_q} \rangle d\upsilon_Y \\
&= \cos(\text{the angle between } l_p \text{ and } l_q) \\
&= \lim_{i\to\infty} \frac{2t_i^2 - \overline{\gamma_p(t_i), \gamma_q(t_i)}^2}{2t_i^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Denote $\angle pxq = \angle^{\upsilon} pxq$ and call it *the angle of* pxq.

Remark 4.4. By the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have that

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0} \sup_{s,t\in[\tau_1,\tau_2]} \left| \cos \angle pxq - \frac{(s\epsilon)^2 + (t\epsilon)^2 - \overline{\gamma_p(s\epsilon), \gamma_q(t\epsilon)}^2}{2st\epsilon^2} \right| = 0$$

for every $0 < \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \infty$.

The next corollary is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.2 and [19, Theorem 3.2]:

Corollary 4.5 (Weak Hölder continuity of angles). *Let $\tau > 0, R > 1$, $p, q, x \in M_\infty$ with $p, q \in B_R(x) \setminus B_{R^{-1}}(x)$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}_p^\tau \cap \mathcal{D}_q^\tau$. Then there exists $r = r(n, \tau, R) > 0$ such that a function $\Phi(z) = \cos \angle pzq$ is $\alpha(n)$-Hölder continuous on $B_r(x) \cap \mathcal{D}_p^\tau \cap \mathcal{D}_q^\tau$. Especially, we have that Φ is weakly $\alpha(n)$-Hölder continuous on M_∞ with respect to any limit measure.*

Th next corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.24 and Corollary 4.5:

Corollary 4.6. *Let $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ be a rectifiable coordinate patches by distance functions on M_∞ as in Theorem 2.3. Then M_∞ has a weakly $\alpha(n)$-Hölder structure with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$.*

Next, we will discuss a continuity of angles with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. For that, recall that a map ϕ from a metric space X_1 to a metric space X_2 is said to be an *ϵ-Gromov-Hausdorff approximation* if $X_2 \subset B_\epsilon(\mathrm{Image}(\phi))$ and $|\overline{x, y} - \overline{\phi(x), \phi(y)}| < \epsilon$ for every $x, y \in X_1$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let* (Y, y) *be a Ricci limit space,* $R > 1$, $0 < \tau < 1$, $0 < \beta < 1$ *and* $p, q \in B_R(y)$ *with* $y \in M_\infty \setminus (C_p \cup C_q \cup \{p, q\})$. *Then for every* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exists* $\delta > 0$ *such that the following property holds: Let* $(\hat{Y}, \hat{y})$ *be a Ricci limit space and* $\hat{p}, \hat{q} \in B_R(\hat{y})$ *with* $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{D}^\tau_{\hat{p}} \cap \mathcal{D}^\tau_{\hat{q}} \setminus (B_\beta(\hat{p}) \cup B_\beta(\hat{q}))$. *Assume that there exists an* δ*-Gromov-Hausdorff approximation* ϕ *from* $(B_R(\hat{y}), \hat{y})$ *to* $(B_R(y), y)$ *such that* $\overline{\phi(\hat{p}), p} < \delta$, $\overline{\phi(\hat{q}), q} < \delta$. *Then we have* $|\angle pyq - \angle \hat{p}\hat{y}\hat{q}| < \epsilon$.

Proof. The proof is done by contradiction. Assume that the assertion is false. Then there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$, $R > 1$, $\tau > 0$, $\beta > 0$, sequences of Ricci limit spaces $\{(Y_i, y_i)\}_{i<\infty}$ and of points $p_i, q_i \in B_R(y_i)$ such that $(B_R(y_i), y_i) \to (B_R(y), y)$, $p_i \to p, q_i \to q$, $y_i \in \mathcal{D}^\tau_{p_i} \cap \mathcal{D}^\tau_{q_i} \setminus (B_\beta(p_i) \cup B_\beta(q_i))$ for every i and that $|\cos \angle p_i y_i q_i - \cos \angle pyq| \geq \epsilon_0$ for every i. Moreover, by Gromov's compactness theorem, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exist a limit measure υ on Y and a sequence $\{\upsilon_i\}_i$ of limit measures υ_i on Y_i such that υ is the limit measure of $\{\upsilon_i\}_i$. By Corollary 4.2, there exists $r > 0$ such that

$$\left|\cos \angle p_i y_i q_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(y_i))}\int_{B_r(y_i)} \langle dr_{p_i}, dr_{q_i}\rangle d\upsilon_i\right| + \left|\cos \angle pyq - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(y))}\int_{B_r(y)} \langle dr_p, dr_q\rangle d\upsilon\right| < \frac{\epsilon_0}{3}$$

for every i. On the other hand, it follows from [20, Proposition 4.8 and Corollary 4.4] that

$$\left|\frac{1}{\upsilon_i(B_r(y_i))}\int_{B_r(y_i)} \langle dr_{p_i}, dr_{q_i}\rangle d\upsilon_i - \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(y))}\int_{B_r(y)} \langle dr_p, dr_q\rangle d\upsilon\right| < \frac{\epsilon_0}{3}$$

for every sufficiently large i. Thus we have that $|\cos \angle p_i y_i q_i - \cos \angle pyq| < \epsilon_0$ for every sufficiently large i. This is a contradiction. □

The following theorem is a result about a continuity of angles with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff topology:

Theorem 4.8 (GH-continuity of angles). *Let* $R > 1$, $\beta > 0$ *and* $0 < \tau < 1$. *Then for every* $\epsilon > 0$, *there exists* $\delta = \delta(n, R, \tau, \beta, \epsilon) > 0$ *such that the following property holds: Let* (Y_1, y_1) *and* (Y_2, y_2) *be Ricci limit spaces, and* $a_i, b_i \in B_R(y_i)$ *with* $y_i \in \mathcal{D}^\tau_{a_i} \cap \mathcal{D}^\tau_{b_i} \setminus (B_\beta(a_i) \cup B_\beta(b_i))$. *Assume that there exists an* δ*-Gromov-Hausdorff approximation* ϕ *from* $(B_R(y_1), y_1)$ *to* $(B_R(y_2), y_2)$ *such that* $\overline{\phi(a_1), a_2} < \delta$ *and* $\overline{\phi(b_1), b_2} < \delta$. *Then we have* $|\angle a_1 y_1 b_1 - \angle a_2 y_2 b_2| < \epsilon$.

Proof. The proof is done by contradiction. Assume that the assertion is false. Then by Gromov's compactness theorem, there exist

1. $R > 1$, $\beta > 0$, $0 < \tau < 1$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$,
2. a Ricci limit space (Z, z), points $a, b \in Z$,
3. a sequence of Ricci limit spaces $\{(Z^j_i, z^j_i)\}_{1 \leq i < \infty, j=1,2}$,
4. a sequence of positive numbers $\delta_i \to 0$,
5. sequences of points $a^j_i, b^j_i \in Z^j_i$ with $z^j_i \in \mathcal{D}^\tau_{a^j_i} \cap \mathcal{D}^\tau_{b^j_i} \cap (B_R(a^j_i) \setminus B_\beta(a^j_i)) \cap (B_R(b^j_i) \setminus B_\beta(b^j_i))$,

(6) a sequence of δ_i-Gromov-Hausdorff approximations ϕ_i from $(B_R(z_i^1), z_i^1)$ to $(B_R(z_i^2), z_i^2)$ with $\overline{\phi_i(a_i^1), a_i^2} < \delta_i$, $\overline{\phi_i(b_i^1), b_i^2} < \delta_i$,

such that $(B_R(z_i^j), z_i^j) \to (B_R(z), z)$, $a_i^j \to a$, $b_i^j \to b$ as $i \to \infty$ for every $j = 1, 2$ and that $|\angle a_i^1 z_i^1 b_i^1 - \angle a_i^2 z_i^2 b_i^2| \geq \epsilon_0$. On the other hand, by Proposition 4.7, we have that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \angle a_i^j z_i^j b_i^j = \angle azb$. This is a contradiction. □

We end this subsection by giving an application of Hölder continuity of angles to a bi-Lipschitz embedding from a subset of M_∞ to a Euclidean space. Recall that $(\mathcal{R}_k)_{\delta,r} = \{x \in M_\infty; d_{GH}((\overline{B}_t(x), x), (\overline{B}_t(0_k), 0_k)) < \delta t \text{ for every } 0 < t < r\}$, where $0_k \in \mathbf{R}^k$, and d_{GH} is the Gromov-Hausdorff distance between pointed metric spaces. See also [5, 7, 17] for the detail.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $R > 1$, $r > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\tau > 0$ and $x \in (\mathcal{R}_k)_{\delta,r}$. Assume that there exists $\{p_i\}_{1\leq i\leq k} \subset M_\infty$ such that $x \in \bigcap_i ((B_R(p_i) \setminus B_{R^{-1}}(p_i)) \cap \mathcal{D}_{p_i}^\tau)$ and that $\det(\cos\angle p_i x p_j)_{ij} \neq 0$. Then we have that a map $\phi_t = \sqrt{\det(\cos\angle p_i x p_j)_{ij}}^{-1}(r_{p_1}, \dots, r_{p_k})$ from $B_t(x) \cap (\mathcal{R}_k)_{\delta,r} \cap \bigcap_i \mathcal{D}_{p_i}^\tau$ to $\mathbf{R}^k$ is an $(1 \pm \Psi(\delta, t; R, \beta, \tau, r))$-bi-Lipschitz embedding for every $0 < t < r$, where $\Psi(a, b; c, d, e, f)$ is a positive definite function on $\mathbf{R}^6$ satisfying that $\lim_{a\to 0, b\to 0} \Psi(a, b; c, d, e, f) = 0$ for every fixed c, d, e, f.*

Proof. Let υ be a limit measure on M_∞. It follows from [20, Corollary 4.4] that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(x))} \int_{B_r(x)} \det(\langle dr_{p_i}, dr_{p_j}\rangle)_{ij} d\upsilon = \det(\cos\angle p_i x p_j)_{ij}.$$

Then the assertion follows from the proof of [20, Lemma 3.14]. □

Remark 4.10. Assume (M_∞, m_∞) is a *non-collapsing limit*, i.e., there exists a sequence of pointed n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifolds $\{(M_i, m_i)\}_i$ with $\mathrm{Ric}_{M_i} \geq -(n-1)$ such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i) > 0$ and $(M_i, m_i) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty)$. Then in [5], it is shown that for every $x \in (\mathcal{R}_n)_{\delta,r}$, we have that $B_{r/32}(x) \subset (\mathcal{R}_n)_{\Psi(\delta,r;n),r/32}$. See [4, Theorem 5.11] for the proof. See also [5, Remark 5.15] and [11].

4.2. **Weak Lipschitz continuity of the Riemannian metric on a Ricci limit space.**

In this subsection, we will show that the Riemannian metric of a Ricci limit space is weakly Lipschitz.

Assume that $(M_i, m_i, \mathrm{vol}/\mathrm{vol}\, B_1(m_i)) \to (M_\infty, m_\infty, \upsilon)$. The following proposition is an essential result to get Theorem 1.3. See [21, 22, 23, 24] for related important interesting results.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $R > 0$ and $\{f_i\}_{1\leq i\leq\infty}$ be a sequence of Lipschitz functions f_i on $B_R(m_i)$. Assume that the following properties hold:*

1. $\sup_i(|f_i|_{L^\infty} + \mathbf{Lip} f_i) < \infty$.
2. *$(f_i, df_i) \to (f_\infty, df_\infty)$ on $B_R(m_\infty)$.*
3. *There exists $r > 0$ with $r < R$ such that $\mathrm{supp} f_i \subset B_r(m_i)$ for every i.*

(4) $|df_i|^2 \in H_{1,2}(B_R(m_i))$ *for every* $i < \infty$, *and*

$$\sup_{i<\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} |d|df_i|^2|^2 d\mathrm{vol} < \infty.$$

Then we have that $|df_\infty|^2 \in H_{1,2}(B_R(m_\infty))$ *and that*

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_R(m_i))} \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} |d|df_\infty|^2|^2 d\upsilon \le \liminf_{i\to\infty} \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} |d|df_i|^2|^2 d\mathrm{vol}.$$

Proof. By [28, Lemma 5.8], there exists an orthonornal basis $\{\phi_i^j\}_j$ on $L^2(B_R(m_i))$ consisting of eigenfunctions ϕ_i^j associate with the j-th eigenvalue λ_i^j with respect to the Dirichlet problem on $B_R(m_i)$ such that $\lambda_i^j \to \lambda_\infty^j$ and that $\phi_i^j \to \phi_\infty^j$ with respect to the L^2-topology (see [28] for the definition of L^2-topology with respect to the measured Gromov-Hausdorff topology). Put $|df_i|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^\infty a_i^j \phi_i^j$ in $L^2(B_R(m_i))$ for every $i \le \infty$. Let $L \ge 1$ with

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} |d|df_i|^2|^2 d\mathrm{vol} = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_i^j (a_i^j)^2 \le L$$

for every $i < \infty$. By [12, Lemma 5.11], we have that

$$\sum_{j=N+1}^\infty (a_i^j)^2 \le \frac{1}{(\lambda_i^{N+1})^{1/2}} |f_i|_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} |d|df_i|^2|_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} \le \frac{C(n,R,L)}{N^{1/n}}$$

for every $i < \infty$ and every N. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists N_0 such that $\sum_{j=N_0+1}^\infty (a_i^j)^2 < \epsilon$ for every $i < \infty$. Remark that by [20, Corolalry 4.4], we have that $|df_i|^2 \to |df_\infty|^2$ with respect to the L^2-topology. Especially, we have that

$$a_i^j = \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} |df_i|^2 \phi_i^j d\mathrm{vol} \overset{i\to\infty}{\to} \frac{1}{\upsilon(B_R(m_\infty))} \int_{B_R(m_\infty)} |df_\infty|^2 \phi_\infty^j d\upsilon = a_\infty^j.$$

Thus we have that $||df_\infty|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^N a_\infty^j \phi_\infty^j|_{L^2(B_R(m_\infty))} = \lim_{i\to\infty} ||df_i|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^N a_i^j \phi_i^j|_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} \le \epsilon$, for every $N \ge N_0$, i.e., $\sum_{j=0}^N a_\infty^j \phi_\infty^j \to |df_\infty|^2$ in $L^2(B_R(m_\infty))$ as $N \to \infty$. Since $|d(\sum_{j=0}^N a_i^j \phi_i^j)|_{L^2(B_R(m_i))} \to |d(\sum_{j=0}^N a_\infty^j \phi_\infty^j)|_{L^2(B_R(m_\infty))}$ as $i \to \infty$ for every N, we have the assertion. $\square$

Corollary 4.12. *Let* $R > 0$, $L \ge 1$ *and* $\{f_i\}_i$ *be a sequence of Lipschitz functions* f_i *on* $B_R(m_i)$. *Assume that the following properties hold:*

(1) f_i *is a* C^2*-function for every* $i < \infty$.

(2)

$$\sup_{i<\infty} \left(|f_i|_{L^\infty} + \mathbf{Lip} f_i + \frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}\, B_R(m_i)} \int_{B_R(m_i)} (\Delta f_i)^2 d\mathrm{vol} \right) \le L$$

(3) $f_i \to f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Then we have that $|df_\infty|^2 \in H_{1,2}(B_r(m_\infty))$ *for every* $r < R$ *and that*

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(m_\infty))} \int_{B_r(m_\infty)} |d|df_\infty|^2|^2 d\upsilon \le C(n, L, r, R).$$

Especially, we have that $|df_\infty|^2$ *is weakly Lipschitz on* $B_R(m_\infty)$.

Proof. By [4, Theorem 6.33], there exists a sequence $\{\phi_i\}_{i<\infty}$ of smooth functions ϕ_i on $B_R(m_i)$ such that $|\nabla\phi_i|_{L^\infty} \le C(n,r,R)$, $|\Delta\phi_i|_{L^\infty} \le C(n,r,R)$, $0 \le \phi \le 1$, $\phi_i|_{B_r(m_i)} \equiv 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\phi_i \subset B_{(r+R)/2}(m_i)$. By applying Proposition 4.11 for $\phi_i f_i$ and [20, Corolalry 4.5], it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\upsilon(B_r(m_\infty))}\int_{B_r(m_\infty)} |d|df_\infty|^2|^2 d\upsilon \le C(n,L,r,R).$$

Finally, the fact that $|df_\infty|^2$ is weakly Lipschitz follows from [2, Theorem 4.14] and Poincaré inequality of type $(1,2)$ on M_∞ [7, Theorem 2.15]. $\square$

The following is a direct consequence of Corollary 4.12:

Theorem 4.13 (Weak second differentiability of Ricci limit spaces). *Let* $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ *be a rectifiable coordinate patches of* (M_∞,υ). *Assume that for every* i,l, *there exist* $r>0$, *a sequence* $\{x_j\}_j$ *of points* $x_j\in M_j$ *with* $C_i^l \subset B_r(x_\infty)$ *and* $x_j \to x_\infty$, *a sequence* $\{f_{j,s}\}_{j<\infty, 1\le s\le l}$ *of* C^2*-functions* $f_{j,s}$ *on* $B_r(x_j)$ *such that* $\sup_{j,s}\mathbf{Lip} f_{j,s}<\infty$, $f_{j,s}\to\phi_{i,s}^l$ *on* C_i^l *as* $j\to\infty$ *for every* s *and that*

$$\sup_{j,s}\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}B_r(x_j)}\int_{B_r(x_j)}(\Delta f_{j,s})^2 d\mathrm{vol}<\infty,$$

where $\phi_i^l=(\phi_{i,1}^l,\dots\phi_{i,l}^l)$. *Then the Riemannian metric* g *of* M_∞ *is weakly Lipschitz with respect to* $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$. *Especially,* M_∞ *has a weakly second differentiable structure with respect to* $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$.

Remark that Theorem 1.3 follows directly from Theorems 2.4 and 4.13.

Definition 4.14. We say that a rectifiable coordinate patches $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ as in Corollary 4.13 is a *weakly second differentiable patches with respect to* $\{(M_j,m_j)\}_j$.

Assume that $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$ is a weakly second differentiable patches with respect to $\{(M_j,m_j)\}_j$.

Proposition 4.15. *Let* $R>0$ *and* f_∞ *be a Lipschitz function on* $B_R(m_\infty)$. *Assume that there exists a sequence* $\{f_j\}_{j<\infty}$ *of* C^2*-functions* f_j *on* $B_R(m_j)$ *such that* $\sup_j \mathbf{Lip} f_j<\infty$, $f_j\to f_\infty$ *on* $B_R(y_\infty)$ *and*

$$\sup_{i<\infty}\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}B_R(y_i)}\int_{B_R(y_i)}(\Delta f_i)^2 d\mathrm{vol}<\infty.$$

Then f_∞ *is weakly second differentiable on* $B_R(y)$ *with respect to* $\{(C_i^l,\phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$.

Proof. By Corolalry 4.12. $\square$

Finally, we end this section by giving the following corollary:

Corollary 4.16 (Weak second differentiability of eigenfunctions). *Let f_∞ be an eigenfunction associated with the eigenvalue λ with respect to the Dirichlet problem on $B_R(m_\infty)$. Then f_∞ is weakly second differentiable on $B_R(m_\infty)$ with respect to $\{(C_i^l, \phi_i^l)\}_{l,i}$.*

Proof. By [28, Lemma 5.8], there exists a sequence $\{f_i\}_i$ of eigenfunctions f_i associated with the eigenvalue λ_i with respect to the Dirichlet problem on $B_R(x_i)$ such that $\lambda_i \to \lambda$ and that $f_i \to f$ with respect to the L^2-topology. Remark that it follows from Cheng-Yau's gradient estimate [8] that $\sup_i \mathbf{Lip}(f_i|_{B_r(x_i)}) < \infty$ for every $r < R$. Thus the assertion follows directly from Proposition 4.15. $\square$

References

[1] Y. Burago, M. Gromov and G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. 47 (1992) 3-51.

[2] J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 428-517.

[3] J. Cheeger, Degeneration of Riemannian metrics under Ricci curvature bounds, Lezioni Fermiane, Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 2001.

[4] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, Lower bounds on Ricci curvature and the almost rigidity of warped products, Ann. of Math. 144 (1996), 189-237.

[5] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, I, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), 406-480.

[6] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, II, J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), 13-35.

[7] J. Cheeger and T. H. Colding, On the structure of spaces with Ricci curvature bounded below, III, J. Differential Geom. 54 (2000), 37-74.

[8] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 28 (1975), 333-354.

[9] T. H. Colding, Ricci curvature and volume convergence, Ann. of Math. 145 (1997), 477-501.

[10] T. H. Colding and W. P. Minicozzi II, Harmonic functions on manifolds, Ann. of Math. 146 (1997), 725-747.

[11] T. H. Colding and A. Naber, Lower Ricci Curvature, Branching and Bi-Lipschitz Structure of Uniform Reifenberg Spaces, http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.2184, preprint.

[12] Y. Ding, Heat kernels and Green's functions on limit spaces, Commun. Anal. Geom. 10 (2002), 475-514.

[13] H. Federer, Geometric measure theory, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1969.

[14] K. Fukaya, Collapsing of Riemannian manifolds and eigenvalues of the laplace operator, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 517-547.

[15] K. Fukaya, Hausdorff convergence of Riemannian manifolds and its applications, Recent topics in differential and analytic geometry, 143-238, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 18-I, Academic Press, Boston, MA, (1990).

[16] M. Fukushima, Dirichlet forms and Markoff processes, North Holland (Amsterdam) 1980.

[17] M. Gromov, Metric Structures for Riemannian and Non-Riemannian Spaces, Birkhauser Boston Inc, Boston, MA, 1999, Based on the 1981 French original [MR 85e:53051], With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu, and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.

[18] J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry. Acta Math. 181 (1998) 1-61.

[19] S. Honda, Bishop-Gromov type inequality on Ricci limit spaces, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 63 (2011), 419-442.

[20] S. Honda, Ricci curvature and convergence of Lipschitz functions, Commun. Anal. Geom. 19 (2011), 79-158.

[21] A. Kasue, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds and Laplace operators, I, Ann. Inst. Fourier 52 (2002), 1219-1257.

[22] A. Kasue, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds and Laplace operators, II, Potential Anal. 24 (2006), 137-194.

[23] A. Kasue and H. Kumura, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 4 (1994), 147-179.

[24] A. Kasue and H. Kumura, Spectral convergence of Riemannian manifolds II, Tohoku Math. J. 2 (1996), 71-120.

[25] S. Keith, A differentiable structure for metric measure spaces. Adv. Math. 183 (2004), 271-315.

[26] S. Keith, Measurable differentiable structures and the Poincaré inequality, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53 (2004), 1127-1150.

[27] B. Kleiner and J. Mackay, Differentiable structure on metric measure spaces: A Primer, http://arxiv.org/abs/1108.1324, preprint.

[28] K. Kuwae and T. Shioya, Convergence of spectral structures: a functional analytic theory and its applications to spectral geometry, Commun. Anal. Geom. 11 (2003), 599-673.

[29] T. J. Laakso, Ahlfors Q-regular spaces with arbitrary $Q > 1$ admitting weak Poincaré inequality. Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000), 111-123.

[30] J. Lott and C. Villani, Ricci curvature for metric measure spaces via optimal transport, Ann. of Math. 169 (2009), 903-991.

[31] S. Ohta, On the measure contraction property of metric measure spaces, Comment. Math. Helv. 82 (2007), 805-828.

[32] Y. Otsu, Almost everywhere existence of second differentiable structure of Alexandrov spaces, preprint.

[33] Y. Otsu and T. Shioya, The Riemannian structure of Alexandrov spaces, J. Differential Geom. 39 (1994), 629-658.

[34] P. Pansu, Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un, Ann. of Math. 129 (1989), 1-60.

[35] G. Perelman, A. D. Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded from below II, preprint.

[36] G. Perelman, DC-structure on Alexandrov space, preprint.

[37] H. Rademacher, Uber partielle und totale Differenzierbarkeit I, Math. Ann. 79 (1919), 340-359.

[38] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, Lectures on Differential Geometry, International Press, 1995.

[39] K. -T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces, Acta Math. 196 (2006), 65-131.

[40] K. -T. Sturm, On the geometry of metric measure spaces II, Acta Math. 196 (2006), 133-177.

[41] L. M. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proc. of the Center for Mathematical Analysis 3, Australian National University, 1983.

[42] C. Villani, Optimal transport, old and new, Springer-Verlag, 2008.

Faculty of Mathmatics
Kyushu University

744, Motooka, Nishi-Ku,
Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
E-mail address: `honda@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`